

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-23417-2021

Date of decision : 18.11.2021

Pinky Sharma

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Kanav Bansal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution, *inter alia*, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to appoint the petitioner in terms of policy dated 08.11.2011 (P-1) on account of the fact that their land was acquired for construction of Peona Thermal Plant, Distt. Mansa.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, states that at this stage, instead of pressing the writ petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case, legal notice dated 06.10.2021 (P-11) is decided expeditiously.

Notice of motion to that limited extent.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Maloo Chahal, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State. Learned State counsel raises no objection against the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, this writ petition is disposed off with direction to respondent No.3-Deputy Commissioner, Mansa, to consider and decide the aforesaid legal notice dated 06.10.2021 (P-11), in accordance with law by passing speaking order, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

18.11.2021*atulsethi***(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
-------------------------------	-----	----

Whether Reportable :	Yes	No
----------------------	-----	----