

213-A

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-43682-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 22.01.2021**

Amandeep Singh @ Amana and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Armaan Gagneja , Advocate,
for the applicant/petitioners.

Mr. B.S. Sewak, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. G.S. Brar, Advocate,
for non-applicant/respondents No.2 to 4.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

CRM-1562-2021:

For the reasons mentioned in the application, addition of Section 325 of the Indian Penal Code, which has been added by subsequent General Diary Entry No.19 dated 22.12.2020, in the original prayer of the main petition is allowed.

Application stands disposed off.

CRM-M-43682-2020:

In this petition, the petitioners, who are the accused persons in DDR No.44 dated 01.06.2020, under Sections 324, 323, 506, 34 and 325 of

the Indian Penal Code (Annexure P-1) in FIR No.175, dated 01.06.2020, under Sections 324, 323, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station City Muktsar, District Sri Muktsar Sahib (Annexure P-2), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings pending therefrom, on the basis of compromise.

2. Now, respondents No.2 to 4 have arrived at a settlement with the accused persons vide Panchayati Compromise (Annexure P-3), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Ld. Judicial Magistrate Ist Class (Duty), Sri Muktsar Sahib, vide report dated 13.01.2021, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

3. Respondents No. 2 to 4 are represented by their Counsel who does not dispute the factum of compromise.

4. In view of the report of the Ld. Judicial Magistrate Ist Class (Duty), Sri Muktsar Sahib, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another**", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "**Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another**", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since respondents No.2 to 4 have themselves compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. DDR No.44 dated 01.06.2020, under Sections 324, 323, 506, 34 and 325 of the

Indian Penal Code (Annexure P-1) in FIR No.175, dated 01.06.2020, under Sections 324, 323, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station City Muktsar, District Sri Muktsar Sahib (Annexure P-2), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed *qua* the present petitioners.

22.01.2021

Apurva

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
2. Whether reportable: Yes/No