

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

106

CRWP-10835-2021
Date of Decision : 18.11.2021

Sunil @ Guliya

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sushil Sheoran, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Garg, AAG, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed for issuance of a direction to the respondents to release the petitioner on parole for a period of four weeks to attend the marriage of his sister.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the marriage of the sister of the petitioner is fixed for 28.11.2021 and the petitioner being a brother is required to perform certain rituals and, therefore, he may kindly be extended the benefit of parole.

On being asked, whether the petitioner has filed any application qua the said prayer before the authorities concerned, learned counsel for the petitioner has drawn attention of this Court to the application dated 05.11.2021 (Annexure P/1) submitted by the petitioner with the jail authorities, which is still pending consideration with the said authorities and

the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said application by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Karan Garg, AAG, Haryana, who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Learned State counsel submits that though, the application dated 05.11.2021 (Annexure P/1) has been appended with the present petition but, the jail authorities have not received any such application, therefore, the question of deciding the same does not arise.

Be that as it may, learned State counsel very fairly submits that the application dated 05.11.2021 (Annexure P/1), as appended with the present petition, will be taken into consideration by the authorities concerned and an appropriate orders on the same will be passed within a period of five days from today.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the undertaking given by the learned State counsel, the grievance of the petitioner stands redressed as of now and, therefore, he does not press the present writ petition any further and the same may be disposed of as such.

Ordered accordingly.

November 18, 2021

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No