

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

212

CRM-M-43595-2020

DATE OF DECISION: 23.08.2021

SWARANJIT SINGH

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Ashok Paul Batra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in rapat No. 18 dated 23.05.2020, under Sections 323, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC' - for short) (Sections 324, 506, 326 and 34 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Bariwala, District Sri Muktsar Sahib, in FIR No. 0038 dated 28.05.2020, under Sections 323, 447, 511 and 34 IPC (Section 325 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Bariwala, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it is alleged that the petitioner has been attributed an injury on the ear of injured Roshan Lal. The MLR of the injured Roshan Lal is from a private hospital and not from a Government hospital. He, however, contends that the petitioner himself had suffered a grievous injury in the incident for which a cross case has been lodged. He has referred to the copies of the MLR and other documents which are annexed as Anneuxres P-9 to P-12 to contend that the teeth of the petitioner were broken.

This Court, by the order dated 13.01.2021, had directed the petitioner to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was ordered to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from SI Kuldeep Singh, states that although the petitioner has joined investigation but the motorcycle on which the accused had come is yet to be recovered.

Learned counsel for the complainant contends that in view of the serious allegations against the petitioner, he is not entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail.

In view of the above, especially when the petitioner having joined investigation, the order dated 13.01.2021 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. He shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

23.08.2021

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No