

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-23045-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision : 12.11.2021

Sarita Minhas @ Sarita Dadwal and others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Sameer Sachdeva, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution has been filed for quashing cut-off date fixed in public notice dated 01.11.2021 (P-8).

Learned counsel, on instructions from the petitioners, states that at this stage, instead of pressing the petition on merits, his clients would be satisfied, in case, representation dated 10.11.2021 (P-9), is decided expeditiously.

Notice of motion to that limited extent.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Maloo Chahal, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State. Learned State counsel raises no objection against the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioners.

In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, this writ petition is disposed off with direction to respondent No.1 to consider and decide the aforesaid

representation dated 10.11.2021 (P-9), in accordance with law by passing speaking order, within a period of one week from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

12.11.2021
atulsethi

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No