

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.43478 of 2020

Date of Decision : 05.04.2021

Kamaljit Kaur & others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present : Mr. M.S. Bajwa, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. B.S. Sewak, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

None for respondent No.2.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioners, who are the accused persons in F.I.R No.0066 dated 02.06.2019, under Sections 323, 341, 354, 506, 34 of IPC 1860 and Section 3 of The SC & ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, registered at Police Station Subhanpur, District Kapurthala(Annexure P-1), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused persons vide Affidavit (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by her. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Ld. Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Kapurthala, vide report dated 24.02.2021 has apprised this Court that the compromise

arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

3. Respondent No.2 is not present nor she is represented by her Counsel. However, Respondent No.2 has made statement on 22.02.2021, before the Ld. Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Kapurthala, whereby, she has admitted the factum of compromise with the accused persons and deposed that the compromise has been effected between them voluntarily and without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

4. In view of the report of the Ld. Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Kapurthala, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***“Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543*** and ***“Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466***, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the Respondent No.2 has herself compromised the dispute with the accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R No.0066 dated 02.06.2019, under Sections 323, 341, 354, 506, 34 of IPC 1860 and Section 3 of the SC & ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, registered at Police Station Subhanpur, District Kapurthala (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed *qua* the present petitioners.

05.04.2021
Jitesh (JTS)

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No