

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

102

**CRWP-10710-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 16.11.2021**

SATISH KUMAR

....Petitioner

Versus

THE STATE OF U.T. CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ**

Present : Mr. Hoshiar Singh Jaswal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. C.K. Bakshi, APP UT Chandigarh.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA. J. (ORAL)

Challenge in the instant petition is to the order dated 01st November, 2021 (Annexure P-9) passed by the Inspector General of Prisons, UT Chandigarh, in terms of which the prayer of the petitioner seeking parole for a period of 04 weeks to meet his family members has been rejected.

Suffice it to notice that the petitioner stands convicted for offence under Section 6 of the POCSO Act and has been sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of 12 years and is currently lodged at Model Jail, Burail Chandigarh.

Perusal of the impugned order reveals the basis for passing the same. Apparently, a verification was carried out as regards the address that had been furnished by the petitioner and it was found that his family members are not residing at such address.

Counsel for the petitioner does not dispute such factual premise.

Under such circumstances, there would be no occasion for this Court to take a different view in the matter and to set aside the impugned order.

Petition is disposed of.

It would be open for the petitioner to apply afresh for concession of parole and by furnishing the correct and updated address at which he wishes to avail of such concession.

In the eventuality of any such application being made, the respondents would be obligated to consider the same as per law.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

16.11.2021

S.Sharma(syr)

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*