

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-22320-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision : November 09, 2021

Seema Sahu

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. S.K. Daaria, Advocate for the petitioner.

LISA GILL, J.

Prayer in this petition is for quashing of orders dated 11.03.2020 (Annexures P10 and P11) as well order dated 18.08.2020 (Annexure P12).

Though it is mentioned in para 17 of this writ petition that the petitioner has not filed any other such or similar writ petition on the same cause of action, it is a matter of record that petitioner had earlier preferred CWP-9427-2020 for setting aside order dated 11.03.2020, which was disposed of on 08.07.2020 with a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide representation dated 19.03.2020 submitted by the petitioner by passing a speaking order. Pursuant to passing of order dated 18.08.2020 by Director General, Higher Education, Haryana in compliance of order dated 08.07.2020, CWP-15151-2020 was filed by the petitioner, which was dismissed as withdrawn on 23.09.2020 with liberty to the petitioner to avail remedy, if any, against her employer i.e. outsourcing agency by filing

payment of salary/termination of her services. Copy of order dated 23.09.2020 is duly attached with this writ petition as Annexure P13. Therefore, it is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that there is no intention of the petitioner to mislead this Court.

Be that as it may, fact remains that the petitioner, who was appointed on contractual basis through an outsourcing agency – respondent No. 4 and relieved from service on 11.03.2020, having no privity of contract with the official respondents as has been held by a Division Bench of this Court in **Nishan Singh and others versus State of Punjab and others 2014 (11) RCR (Civil) 262**, has admittedly suffered withdrawal of CWP-15151-2020 earlier filed by her on the same cause of action. It is specifically mentioned that writ petition was dismissed after addressing arguments for some time. Present writ petition is clearly not maintainable.

Writ petition is, thus, dismissed. Due to fervent request of learned counsel, cost is not being imposed.

November 09, 2021
Rts

(Lisa Gill)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No