

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

246

**CRM-M No. 43035 of 2020
Date of decision :10.02.2021**

Raj Kumar Singh Tanwar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. Pardeep Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana
for respondent No. 1-State.

Mr. Abhimanyu Kalsi, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

The case is taken up through video conferencing on account of COVID-19.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 102 dated 02.02.2019 under Sections 323, 354, 377, 406, 498-A, 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Mujesar, District Faridabad and all other consequential proceedings arising out of the said FIR, as the matter stands amicably settled in terms of compromise.

Notice of motion was issued. Vide order dated 21.12.2020, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court/Duty Magistrate on 14.01.2021 for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise.

A report dated 21.01.2021 of learned JMIC, Faridabad, has been received. As per the report, the parties had appeared before learned JMIC, Faridabad and got recorded their statements regarding compromise. Learned Magistrate has stated that she is of the considered view that the compromise between the parties is valid, genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.

In view thereof, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 102 dated 02.02.2019 under Sections 323, 354, 377, 406, 498-A, 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Mujesar, District Faridabad, along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

10.02.2021
Rajeev (rvs)

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No