

101

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10623-2021

Date of decision:12.11.2021

RAKESH KUMAR @ BOXER

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr.Ravi Kamal Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Kirat Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

- 1) Since the appropriate application seeking grant of parole to the petitioner does not appear to be instituted before the appropriate authority concerned, thereupon at this stage the criminal writ petition is not maintainable.
- 2) Therefore, as prayed for by the learned counsel for the petitioner, he is permitted to withdraw the instant criminal writ petition.
- 3) Dismissed as withdrawn.
- 4) However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to within one week prefer an appropriate application before the authority concerned, claiming therein the relief claimed in the instant criminal writ petition. Upon the afore application, if filed before the appropriate authority concerned, the latter is directed to, through a speaking order, and, in accordance with relevant rules, and, statutes, hence make a decision thereon, within three weeks thereafter.

5) In case the petitioner is still aggrieved, then the petitioner may avail his remedy in accordance with law.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

12.11.2021
ithlesh

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
<i>Whether reportable:-</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>