

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-43141-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision:02.03.2021

Ram Singh @ Sumer @ Homi

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Pawan Attri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).

Matter has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of the Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

This is the 2nd petition preferred by the petitioner seeking regular bail pending trial in case FIR No.0463, dated 15.05.2017, under Section 379-A IPC (Haryana Amendment Act, 2014), registered at Police Station City Thanesar, Kurukshetra.

Counsel would vehemently argue that name of the petitioner did not figure in the FIR. He has been implicated merely on the statement of co-accused, Nitin, who has since been acquitted by the trial Court. It is urged that the petitioner has been falsely implicated.

Counsel for the parties have been heard at length.

It has gone uncontroverted that the petitioner in the present case had been declared a proclaimed offender. There are 19 other FIRs in which the petitioner is involved on the allegations of chain snatching.

In view of the seriousness of the offence and the criminal antecedents of the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to extend benefit of bail to the petitioner.

Petition is dismissed.

02.03.2021

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable? | Yes/No |