

114 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-24-2021(O&M)

Date of decision: 08.01.2021

SUKHMAN SINGH @ CHHOTU SINGH

.....Petitioner

Versus

Ranjit Ram and others

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr.R.K.Kakkar, Advocate for the petitioner

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The defendant-petitioner has assailed the correctness of order passed by Civil Judge, Junior Division, Abohar, on 11.11.2020, accepting application for permission to lead additional evidence. The documents, which have been allowed to be produced by way of additional evidence, are copies of the revenue record which are per se admissible. Learned Trial Court after appreciating the facts has already passed a detailed order.

Although, learned counsel, for the petitioner, made sincere attempt, however, he failed to draw the attention, of the Court, to any substantive error or material irregularity or perversity in the impugned order. In view thereof, there is no ground to interfere. Hence, dismissed. However, since the application filed by the plaintiff to lead additional evidence has been allowed, the Trial Court is required to give

a counter opportunity to the defendants. If such a request is made, the Trial Court would consider the same in accordance with the law.

08.01.2021
rekha

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes / No