

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

234

**CRM-M-47280-2021**

**Date of decision: 18.11.2021**

Sudesh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present : Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana  
for the respondent-State.

\*\*\*\*

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

This is the third petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.480 dated 16.07.2018 lodged under Sections 304B, 302 and 34 IPC registered at Police Station Gharaunda, District Karnal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a perusal of the FIR in question leaves no manner of doubt that totally vague and fabricated allegations have been levelled by the complainant against the entire in-laws family of her deceased grand-daughter. He has further submitted that the petitioner, who is a lady, has now been in custody since 21.07.2018 and her further incarceration would not serve any purpose, more-so, since the material witnesses stand examined. A prayer has, therefore, been made to extend the concession of bail to the petitioner.

Per contra, learned State counsel while opposing the prayer and submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions from ASI Bahadur Singh, has submitted that all the

material witnesses which includes the complainant and two persons who attended the Panchayat which was convened between the parties qua the alleged harassment meted out to the deceased, had supported the case of the prosecution in its entirety. She has submitted that 8 out of 27 prosecution witnesses stand examined and next date of hearing before the trial Court is 23.11.2021.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material on record.

This Court in the wake of the specific allegations levelled against the petitioner, who happens to be the mother-in-law of the deceased, does not deem it appropriate to extend the concession of bail to her, more-so, since the complainant and other material witnesses have supported the case of the prosecution.

Dismissed.

However, it is made clear that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

At this stage, a request has been made by learned counsel for the petitioner that the trial Court may be directed to expedite the trial.

The request is acceded to and the trial Court is directed to make every effort to expedite the trial and conclude the same within six months from the date of this order.

18.11.2021

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)

Vinay

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No