

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-10591-2020

DATE OF DECISION: JANUARY 28, 2021

ARTI DEVI & ANOTHER ...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & OTHERS ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ.

PRESENT: MR. DEEPAK KUMAR BARTIYA, ADVOCATE
FOR THE PETITIONER.

KANWAR SANJIV KUMAR, AAG, HARYANA.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.(ORAL)

The petitioners have filed this petition under Article 226 Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty, as they apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 7, who are against the marriage of the petitioners.

On 18.12.2020, learned State counsel sought time to verify the existence of alleged threat.

Status report by way of affidavit of Vijay Kumar Nehra, HPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Panchkula has been filed by learned State counsel wherein it has been averred that statements of both petitioners and respondents No.4 and 5 (parents of petitioner No.1) have been recorded and that the petitioners do not apprehend any danger from respondent No.4 to 7.

Learned counsel for the petitioners does not dispute this fact and submits that in view of the statements of petitioners, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

January 28, 2021

Gulati

Whether Reportable : Yes/No

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No

(MANOJ BAJAJ)

JUDGE