

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.22118 of 2020

Date of Decision: 10.02.2021

(PROCEEDINGS THROUGH V.C.)

Jagmeet Singh & Anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

The ICICI HFC Limited & Another

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present: Mr. Sarabjit Singh Grewal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Suri, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

* * * *

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for respondent No.1 informs the Court that the undertaking has been given by the petitioners, however, it is not in consonance with the order dated 21.12.2020 passed by this Court wherein the petitioners were required to hand over the possession of the premises in case default in payment of instalments.

Counsel for the petitioners submits that the intent of filing the undertaking was the same, i.e. the possession would be handed over to the bank in case of any default on the part of the petitioners in payment of instalments as per order dated 21.12.2020.

In the light of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of in

view of the undertaking which has been given by the petitioners. The petitioners shall be bound by the said undertaking.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

10.02.2021
seema

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No