

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

233a

CRM-M-43336-2020

Date of decision : 08.02.2021.

Sube Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Ms. Kuljinder Bir Kaur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. K.B.S. Mann Advocate for respondents No.2 to 4.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is seeking quashing of cross-case DDR No. 40 dated 21.09.2020, under Sections 341, 323, 324, 427, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC' - for short) (Sections 325 and 326 IPC added later on) in FIR No. 319 dated 20.09.2020, under Sections 363, 366-A and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Lambi, District Sri Muktsar Sahib, on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the parties are related to each other and with the intervention of the 'panchayat', the matter has been compromised. She also contends that the accused in the FIR have filed petition, i.e. CRM-M No. 43660 of 2020, for quashing the FIR on the basis of compromise wherein the statements of the parties have been recorded by the trial Court. He has referred to the copy of the compromise at Annexure P3.

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 4 states that the matter has indeed been compromised.

This Court vide order dated 05.01.2021 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements and send a report as to whether the compromise has been arrived at without any coercion or undue influence. The report of the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Malout dated 19.01.2021 has been received, wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, the statements of the parties were recorded which indicates that compromise which has been effected is genuine, voluntary and without undue influence.

The parties are related to each other and with the intervention of the Panchayat, the dispute has now been resolved and the matter has been compromised. In view of the law laid down by the Supreme Court in the case of **Narinder Singh vs. State of Punjab 2014 (6) SCC 466**, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and DDR No. 40 dated 21.09.2020, under Sections 341, 323, 324, 427, 148 and 149 IPC (Sections 325 and 326 IPC added later on) in FIR No. 319 dated 20.09.2020, under Sections 363, 366-A and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Lambi, District Sri Muktsar Sahib and all consequential proceedings are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

February 08, 2021
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No