

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.118

**CM No.2857-CII of 2021 in/and
FAO No.6024 of 2014
DATE OF DECISION: March 22, 2021**

AJAY KUMAR

...APPELLANT

VERSUS

SULEMAN AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Gagandeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Amandeep Singh Manaise, Advocate, for the appellant.
Mr. Rajbir Singh, Advocate, for applicant-respondent No.3.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

CM-2857-CII-2021

This application has been filed for disposal of the main appeal as
meanwhile, the parties have settled their dispute.

Learned counsel the applicant-respondent No.3 submits that the
claimants shall be paid an additional amount of ₹6 lacs over and above the amount
awarded by the MACT in full and final settlement of their claim. Demand draft is
ready and shall be handed over to the counsel for the non-applicant-appellant in
the Court itself.

Thus, the application is allowed and main case is taken on board.

FAO-6024-2014

In view of aforementioned compromise, the appeal stands disposed
of. The appellant shall be entitled to receive an additional amount of ₹6 lacs in full
and final settlement of their claim. The parties shall remain bound by the terms of
the settlement.

March 22, 2021

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Ankur

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No