

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.22919 of 2021
Date of Decision :12.11.2021**

M/s Landis+Gyr Ltd.

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE**

Present : Mr. Akshay Bhan, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Amandeep Singh Talwar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl.A.G. Haryana.

(The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing
since the proceedings are being conducted in virtual Court)

RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral):

In essence, this petition has been filed by the petitioner being aggrieved by an order dated 26.10.2021 (Annexure P-64), vide which the petitioner has been blacklisted for a period of three years.

Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the said proceedings were taken up by the respondents, pursuant to the order dated 30.06.2020, passed by this Court in CWP No.8794 of 2020. And, the respondents-authorities gave the petitioner an opportunity of hearing on 30.12.2020 before the Board of Directors of Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam (DHBVN). However, he asserts that the impugned order has been passed nearly after 11 months, after the date of hearing by the Board of Directors, which comprised of different Members than those who had heard the petitioner on 30.12.2020. Learned Sr. counsel has drawn our attention to

the details, tabulated at page No.68 of the petition, in this regard.

Learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, on instructions, submits that he does not dispute the factual issue raised by the petitioner. He submits that in the given circumstances, the respondents-authorities shall rehear the petitioner and take decision afresh, without being influenced by the previous order/decision of the Board. He submits that this process shall be initiated by the respondents-authorities, at the earliest, and a final decision shall be taken within a month. Further, until a afresh order is passed, the impugned order dated 26.10.2021, shall be kept in abeyance.

In view of the submissions of learned Senior counsel for the petitioner as well as the statement made by learned State counsel, the petition stands disposed of.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

12.11.2021
Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No