

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

107

**CRWP-10619-2021
Date of decision : 11.11.2021**

Rajinderpal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA

Present : Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

ASHOK KUMAR VERMA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has filed the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to the respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner from danger at the hands of accused persons named in the representation (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that accused-Ritu Kapoor is running a racket of blackmailing innocent persons by honey trapping them. The son of the petitioner was allured by accused-Ritu Kapoor to make investment with her as she stated that she was running NGO. After some time, she started blackmailing the petitioner by showing some inappropriate photographs of his son with her. The petitioner made complaint against her to respondent No.3 and also made representation dated 24.07.2021 to respondent No.2 but no action has been taken on the same. Therefore, the directions may be issued to the respondents for protection of life and liberty of the petitioner.

Heard.

After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court finds that the petition contains bald averments regarding apprehension of threat allegedly faced by the petitioner, as the same is not supported with any convincing material. The representation dated 24.07.2021 (Annexure P-1) filed by the petitioner, simply contains the averment regarding danger to his life, also lacks material particulars as it does not at all reveal the manner and mode of alleged threat extended to the petitioner.

Further, the apprehension expressed by the petitioner that accused would implicate him in a false criminal case, cannot be construed as a threat as he too is free to avail his remedy in law.

Resultantly, this Court does not find it to be a fit case for exercise of extra ordinary writ jurisdiction.

Dismissed.

11.11.2021

kothiyal

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No