

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND  
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.39241 of 2021 in/and  
CRM-M-42601 of 2020 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: November 26, 2021

Harbhajan Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR**

Present:- Mr. P.S. Kanwar, Advocate for  
Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. A.S. Gill, Sr. DAG Punjab.

Mr. Jagjeet Singh, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

**JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)**

**CRM-39241-2021**

This is an application that has been filed seeking to prepone hearing in the main case, which is now listed for 06.04.2022.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed. Hearing in the main case is preponed and the same is taken up for hearing today.

**Main case**

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.231 dated 28.07.2020 registered under Sections 452, 427, 506, 34 of the Indian Penal Code and

Sections 25, 27 of Arms Act at Police Station Sirhali, District Tarn Taran (Annexure P/1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise (Annexure P/2).

The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant-Ravinderpal Singh. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Judicial Magistrate First Class at Tarn Taran, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from anyone and the same is genuine one.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the Investigating Officer admits to the factum of compromise and submits that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that

both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.231 dated 28.07.2020 registered under Sections 452, 427, 506, 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 25, 27 of Arms Act at Police Station Sirhali, District Tarn Taran and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua the petitioner herein.

**November 26, 2021**  
*vijay saini*

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable

Yes  
Yes/No