

209-A

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.42704 of 2020(O&M)
Date of Decision:29.06.2021

ANURADHA

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:Mr. S.S Duhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amar Ashok Pathak, Addl. A.G, Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case bearing FIR No.175 dated 26.11.2020, registered under Sections 306, 506, 120-B and 34 IPC, at Police Station Raman, District Bathinda.

On 17.12.2020, following order was passed:-

“Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.175 dated 26.11.2020 under Sections 306, 506, 120-B, and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Raman, District Bathinda.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the FIR in question reveals that the petitioner who is wife of the

deceased was not residing in her matrimonial house at the time of alleged occurrence and had in fact been staying at her parental house for almost 3 months preceding the occurrence. It has been further contended that there had been matrimonial dispute between the deceased and the petitioner which was precisely the reason for the petitioner to stay away from her matrimonial home.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. R.S. Khaira, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating officer. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.

Adjourned to 23.03.2021.”

December 17, 2020

[MANJARI NEHRU KAUL]

Ess Kay

JUDGE

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has joined the investigation to the entire satisfaction of the Investigating Officer.

Learned State counsel on instructions from Investigating Officer states that petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for further investigation in the case.

In view of above, order dated 17.12.2020 is made

absolute. However, petitioner shall keep on joining the investigation as and when required to do so and shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

29.06.2021
Amandeep

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No