

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 19341 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of decision : 24.9.2021

...

Jai Parkash

.....Petitioner

vs.

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. And others

.....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice H. S. Madaan

Present: Mr. Sandeep Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Shubhra Singh, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

...

H. S. Madaan, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Petitioner Jai Parkash, aged 45 years, son of Fateh Singh, resident of village Akheri Madanpur, District Jhajjar, has filed the instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, against respondents i.e. Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitram Nigam Limited (UHBVNL), Sector 6, Panchkula, through its Managing Director; The Chief Secretary (UHBVNL), Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh; the Chief Engineer-cum-Administrative Officer (OP) UHBVNL, Sector 5, Panchkula; Sub Divisional Officer (UHBVNL), City Sub Division Jhajjar, District Jhajjar; XEN Operation Division, (UHBVNL), Jhajjar, District Jhajjar and SE Operation Circle (UHBVNL) Jhajjar, District Jhajjar, craving for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release the arrears of salary

to him for the suspension period alongwith other service benefits. According to him, though he has been reinstated in service, but such benefits have not been granted to him; that he had served a legal notice dated 31.8.2020, copy Annexure P-3, upon the respondents but that did not evoke any response. Therefore, he has filed the present writ petition.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, taking into consideration the nature of dispute and to enable the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner and then to take necessary action, if so warranted and to avoid unnecessary litigation, the present writ petition is disposed of directing the respondents, to consider the legal notice dated 31.8.2020 (Annexure P-3) of the petitioner as per law, rules, regulations and instructions on the subject and if some action in the matter is warranted, then the needful be done in accordance with law, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of copy of this order. A speaking order in that regard be passed, copy of which be sent to the petitioner as per registered AD Post.

It is observed that in case the petitioner still feels dissatisfied after disposal of his legal notice, then he may approach the Court again in accordance with law for redressal of his grievances.

24.9.2021
chugh

(H.S. Madaan)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No