

**227 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-5557-2019(O&M)

Date of decision:27.01.2021

Anil Butta and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

Sita Devi and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr.Gaurav Kathuria, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Advocate for the respondents

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

The petitioners are tenants. Both the courts have ordered their eviction on the ground that the landlady requires the tenanted premises bonafidely.

This Court has heard learned counsel for the parties.

After arguing at some length, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners would hand over the physical vacant possession of the tenanted premises to the landlady provided that they are granted 6 months time to make an alternative arrangement.

Sh. Lokesh Sinhal, Advocate, is not averse to the aforesaid suggestion of the learned counsel for the petitioners.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the revision petition is dismissed as withdrawn. The tenants-petitioners would file an undertaking before the Rent Controller that they would hand over the vacant physical possession of the tenanted premises to the landlady

within a period of six months. The petitioners would also deposit the arrears of rent, if any. If the petitioners file an undertaking to the aforesaid effect within a period of 15 days, they shall not be evicted for a period of six months i.e upto 31.07.2021.

Disposed of.

27.01.2021

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

Yes /No

Yes / No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE