

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.103

CWP No.21893 of 2020

DATE OF DECISION: February 18, 2021

VIRENDER KUMAR AND OTHERS

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. T.S. Hundal, Advocate for
Mr. Keshav Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The grievance of the petitioners is that despite representation, they are not being granted appointment notionally w.e.f. the date the other selectees of the same selection process were appointed. Even representation dated 10.09.2020 (Annexure P-6) has not been responded to. Reliance has been placed upon a judgment of the Supreme Court in *Balwant Singh Narwal and others vs. State of Haryana and others, (2008) 7 SCC 728*.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sanjay Mittal, Addl. A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State of Haryana and waives service.

Considering the nature of the order being passed there is no necessity to seek a reply from the respondents.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the pending representation dated 10.09.2020 (Annexure P-6) in accordance with law on the subject and to decide the same by passing a speaking order, within eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

February 18, 2021
Ankur

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No