

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-42584-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 24.03.2021

Neetu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Sapan Dhir, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 28 dated 02.02.2020, registered under Sections 406 and 420 of the IPC at Police Station City Rajpura, District Patiala.

The operative part of the order dated 17.12.2020, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per allegations in the FIR, co-accused Pawan Kumar had given a receipt of Rs. 2 Lakh and the petitioner is not the daughter of said Pawan Kumar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that petitioner is residing in Chandigarh and doing a private job and has nothing to do with the alleged travel agency made by other co-accused.

Notice of motion for 24.03.2021.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 17.12.2020, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Lakhwinder Singh, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 17.12.2020, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

24.03.2021

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No