

202 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA**
AT CHANDIGARH
(through video conferencing)

CRM-M-42591-2020
Decided on : 13.01.2021

Jitender Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Navneet Jindal, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Goel, DAG, Haryana with
DSP Vijay Singh.

Manjari Nehru Kaul, J.

Instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.PC for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.394 dated 22.07.2019 for the offences registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, 1860 at Police Station City Fatehabad, Haryana.

In pursuance to order dated 05.01.2021 of this Court, reply by way of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, State Crime Branch, Hisar on behalf of State has been filed.

A perusal of the reply so filed by the State reveals that the petitioner submitted his self attested identity proof (i.e. aadhaar card, pan card, rent agreement, photo, copy of passbook of savings bank account of State Bank of India and mobile phone number) while opening a bogus firm i.e. M/s Bajrang Agro Food, Fatehabad along with the mobile phone number of his brother, who is a practicing lawyer. Thereafter, subsequent to the registration of the aforementioned firm opened by the petitioner, a current bank account bearing No.96773070000400 was opened by the petitioner.

The statement of bank account No.96773070000400 opened by the petitioner in the Syndicate Bank clearly reflects money transactions between his firm and M/s Lord Krishna Trading, M/s Love Agro Food and M/s Gaurav Enterprises which as per the Sales Tax Department involved bogus sales of Rs.24 crores. Not only this, the firm of petitioner claimed an input tax credit of Rs.1 crore 92 lakhs 19 thousand and 675 rupees by issuing bogus bills.

Heard.

Prima facie there are serious allegations levelled against the petitioner for which his custodial interrogation would be required. Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed. However, it is made clear that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

13.01.2021

sonia

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable :

Yes/No