

CRM-M-46878-2021

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-46878-2021

Date of decision: 10.11.2021

Ajaypal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Ramnish Puri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.124 dated 18.06.2021, registered at Police Station Kathunangal, District Amritsar, under Sections 323, 324, 452, 365, 342, 336, 148 and 149 IPC and Section 326 IPC (added later on), and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case; that Prabhjit Singh-son of the complainant has already been recovered; that co-accused, Mehtab Singh @ Amitabjit Singh, who had allegedly fired a gun-shot in the air, and Gurdial Singgh alias Kalia stand enlarged on anticipatory bail, vide orders of the even date passed in CRM-M-43625-2021 and CRM-M-33022-2021. The learned counsel prays that on the ground of parity, the petitioner is also entitled to the anticipatory bail.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

As per the prosecution version, the petitioner had inflicted a

sword blow on the head of Amritpal Singh-son of the complainant. The

CRM-M-46878-2021

injury entailing the offence under Section 326 IPC is attributed to the petitioner. In such circumstances, the petitioner cannot claim parity with co-accused, who stand enlarged on anticipatory bail.

Keeping in view the nature and gravity of the offence, this Court finds that the petitioner is required for custodial interrogation.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

10.11.2021
parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No