

CRM-M-46975-2021
Date of Decision : 23.11.2021

Versus

State of Haryana ... Respondent

Present: Mr. Harish Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

(Through Video Conferencing)

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
245	29.06.2021	Baroda, District Sonepat	148,149, 323, 324, 326 and 506 of IPC, 1860 (offence under Section 326 added later on)

The petitioners, who are apprehending arrest came up before this Court under Section 438 Cr.P.C., seeking anticipatory bail.

2. Vide order dated 09.11.2021, this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

3. On 28.06.2021, the complainant informed the aforesaid police station that when the complainant was objecting to non-receipt of money under MNREGA Scheme, then such fact came to the knowledge of one Vikram who felt offended. At 8.00 p.m., when he went to his plot, at that time, Vikram, Deepak, Sahil, Kiran and Sapna along with Santosh (petitioners) reached there and started assaulting, which led to registration of the FIR captioned above.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has argued that the petitioners have joined the investigation.

4. Learned State counsel has not disputed the fact that the petitioners have joined the investigation but has opposed the bail on the grounds of seriousness of allegations. However, he submits that in case, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners then the petitioners should be released on strict and stringent terms and conditions. Learned State counsel has also filed reply by way of an affidavit of Ms. Nikita Khattar, IPS, District Sonapat, which is taken on record.

5. The petitioners are women and given the nature of allegations and injuries attributed to them, there is no justification to deny the bail to them and no pre-trial incarceration is required. Without commenting on the case's merits and the circumstances peculiar to this case, the petitioners makes a case for release on bail, subject to the following terms and conditions, which shall be over and above and irrespective of the contents of the form of bail bonds in chapter XXXIII of Cr.P.C., 1973.

6. The petitioners shall be released on bail in the FIR mentioned above, subject to furnishing a personal bond of Rs. Ten thousand (INR 10,000/-), and with one surety of Rs. Twenty-five thousand (INR 25,000/-), to the satisfaction of the Investigator. Before accepting the surety, the Attesting Officer must satisfy that in case the accused fails to appear in Court, then such sureties are capable to produce the accused before the Court, keeping in mind the Jurisprudence behind the sureties, which is to secure the presence of the accused.

7. The furnishing of the personal bond shall be deemed acceptance of the following and all other stipulations, terms, and conditions of this bail order:

a) The petitioners to execute a bond for attendance in the concerned Court(s). Once the trial begins, the petitioners shall not, in any manner, try to delay the proceedings, and undertakes to appear before the concerned Court and to attend the trial on each date, unless exempted. In case of an appeal, on this very bond, the petitioners also promises to appear before the higher Court in terms of Section 437-A Cr.P.C.

b) The attesting officer shall, on the reverse page of personal bonds, mention the permanent address of the petitioners along with the phone number(s), WhatsApp number (if any), e-mail (if any), and details of personal bank account(s) (if available), and

in case of any change, the petitioners shall immediately and not later than 30 days from such modification, intimate about the change of residential address and phone numbers, WhatsApp number, e-mail accounts, to the Police Station of this FIR to the concerned Court.

c) The petitioners shall not influence, browbeat, pressurize, make any inducement, threat, or promise, directly or indirectly, to the witnesses, the Police officials, or any other person acquainted with the facts of the case, to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Police, or the Court, or to tamper with the evidence.

d) The petitioners shall join the investigation as and when called by the Investigating Officer or any Superior Officer; and shall cooperate with the investigation at all further stages as may be required. In the event of failure to do so, it will be open for the prosecution to seek cancellation of the bail. Whenever the investigation occurs within the police premises, the petitioners shall not be called before 8 AM and shall be let off before 5 PM, and shall not be subjected to third-degree, indecent language, inhuman treatment, etc.

8. During the trial's pendency, if the petitioners repeats or commits any offence where the sentence prescribed is more than seven years or violates any condition as stipulated in this order, it shall always be permissible to the respondent to apply for cancellation of this bail. It shall further be open for any investigating agency to bring it to the notice of the Court seized of the subsequent application that the accused was earlier cautioned not to indulge in criminal activities. Otherwise, the bail bonds shall continue to remain in force throughout the trial and after that in terms of Section 437-A of the Cr.P.C.

9. Any Advocate for the petitioners and the Officer in whose presence the petitioners puts signatures on personal bonds shall explain all conditions of this bail order, in vernacular and if not feasible, in Hindi.

10. In case the petitioners finds the bail condition(s) as violating fundamental, human, or other rights, or causing difficulty due to any situation, then for modification of such term(s), the petitioners may file a reasoned application before this Court, and after taking cognizance, even to

the Court taking cognizance or the trial Court, as the case may be, and such Court shall also be competent to modify or delete any condition.

11. This order does not, in any manner, limit or restrict the rights of the Police or the investigating agency from further investigation per law.

12. Any observation made hereinabove is neither an expression of opinion on the merits of the case, nor shall the trial Court advert to these comments.

13. In return for the protection from incarceration, the Court believes that the accused shall also reciprocate through desirable behavior.

14. Given above, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 09.11.2021 is hereby made absolute.

15. There would be no need for a certified copy of this order for furnishing bonds. Any Advocate for the petitioners can download this order along with the case status from the official web page of this Court and attest it to be a true copy. The Prosecutor shall also verify the downloaded copy by comparing it from the official web page. In case the attesting officer or the Court wants to verify the authenticity, such an officer can also verify its authenticity and may download and use the downloaded copy for attesting bonds.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

November 23, 2021
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No