

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

220

CRM-M-42573-2020

DATE OF DECISION: 18.02.2021

VIKASH

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Rajesh Dhankhar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.538 dated 09.11.2018, under Sections 452, 506 read with Section 34 IPC and Section 3(2) (V) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, registered at Police Station Tosham, District Bhiwani.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it is alleged that the petitioner had broken the door of the house of the complainant and had abused him. The petitioner, who is 24 year of age, is in custody for over 2 years and 2 months and no prosecution witness has been examined as yet.

Learned State counsel contends that the petitioner is also involved in other cases. He, however, is not in a position to controvert the submission of learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody for over 2 years and 2 months and no witness has been examined.

Heard.

In view of the above, especially when the petitioner is in custody for over 2 years and 2 months, no prosecution witness has been examined, the COVID-19 pandemic and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

18.02.2021

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No