

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

223

Crl. Misc.M-42479 of 2020

Date of Decision: March 12, 2021

Akram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Rajiv Kumar Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurbir Singh Dhillon, AAG, Haryana.

(Through Video Conferencing)

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.220 dated 10.03.2019, under Sections 307, 399, 405 of Indian Penal Code 1860 and Section 25 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station City Karnal, District Karnal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner-herein would rely upon the factum of regular bail having been allowed to Salim Ahmed and Unis, the two co-accused by the trial Court. As per the reply by the State, it is Unis, who had fired upon the police party with an intention to kill them. It is also submitted that challan has been presented and the petitioner has been in custody for over two years and the trial is likely to take some time to

conclude and that all the witnesses are police officials. No further investigation is required in the matter, therefore, the petitioner is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-State, opposes the bail application, while submitting that the offences alleged against the petitioner are serious in nature, therefore, the petitioner is not entitled to grant of regular bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

The trial is likely to take some time and in view of the facts that co-accused have been granted bail; challan has been presented and the witnesses are police officials no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind the bars any longer. At this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner-Akram is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond to the sum of Rs. 1 lac with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

However, anything observed or said by this court is only for the purpose of deciding the instant petition for grant of regular bail and the same shall have no affect on the merits of the case.

March 12, 2021

sonia arora

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No