

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 216 of 2021

Date of Decision: February 10, 2021

Sonu Wadhawa

.....Petitioner

versus

Baldev Kaur

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate
for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

This revision petition is directed against order dated 26.02.2020, whereby the application of the respondent for restoration of the ejectment petition has been allowed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the respondent was guilty of delaying the matter. She was intentionally not appearing before the Rent Controller and, thus, the order restoring the ejectment petition was illegal.

A perusal of the impugned order shows that reasons for restoration given by the Rent Controller are valid. It has been opined that the landlord would not benefit by intentionally avoiding appearance before the Rent Controller and by delaying her ejectment petition. The finding that the landlord had noted a wrong date also cannot be faulted as counsel for tenant also did not appear on the date the order was passed. No other error has been pointed out in the findings and, thus, I do not find any ground to interfere in the impugned order.

Revision petition is accordingly dismissed.

February 10, 2021

Poonam Negi

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes**Whether Reportable : No**