

202

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 42539 of 2020 (O&M)
Decided on: 10.02.2021

Gurwinder Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. K.S. Brar , Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioners pray for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.251 dated 26.11.2020, registered under Sections 323, 341, 506, 34 IPC and 25/27/54/59 of the Arms Act at Police Station Sadar Bathinda, District Bathinda.

The operative part of the order dated 17.12.2020, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioners, is reproduced as under:-

“...At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that due to some inadvertent mistake, Sections 25/27/54/59 of the Arms Act have not been mentioned in the head note as well as prayer clause of the petition and the same may be incorporated on his oral request.

The request made by learned counsel for the petitioners is accepted.

The Registry is directed to make the necessary

corrections.

Learned counsel further submits that as per allegations in the FIR, there is no injury attributed to petitioner No. 1, whereas petitioner Nos. 2 and 3 are attributed only simple injuries.

Learned counsel further submits that without prejudice to their right of defence, the petitioners are ready to pay a sum of Rs. 20,000/- (total) to the victim towards medical expenses.

Notice of motion....”

Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 17.12.2020, the petitioners have appeared before the Investigating Officer and have joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from SI Chaman Lal, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioners are no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioners vide order dated 17.12.2020 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

10.02.2021
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No