

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(102)

**CRM-M-47237-2021
Date of decision: 11.11.2021**

Diwan Chand	Versus	...Petitioner
State of Punjab		...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Ashok Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.
...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

This is the second petition filed by the petitioner invoking Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.25 dated 30.05.2018, registered for offences under Sections 420 and 406 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, at Police Station City Ahmedgarh, District Sangrur (Annexure P-1).

Counsel for the petitioner has been heard.

Vide order dated 24.09.2021 (Annexure P-8), petitioner has been declared as a proclaimed person. Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Pardeep Sharma 2014 (1) RCR (Criminal) 269*** has held that if an accused is declared a proclaimed offender in terms of Section 82 of Cr.P.C., he is not entitled to grant of anticipatory bail. Moreover, this is the second petition filed within a span of six weeks after the dismissal of first petition. In these circumstances, discretionary relief of anticipatory bail cannot be granted to the petitioner.

Petition is accordingly dismissed.

11.11.2021 Pardeep	(SUVIR SEHGAL) JUDGE
-----------------------	---------------------------------

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	Yes/No