

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

112

CRWP-10473 of 2020
Date of Decision: 19.03.2021

Bachan Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Varinder Basa, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, DAG, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Case heard via video conferencing.

On 12.03.2021, the following order had been passed by this court,
reproducing therein the order earlier passed on 16.12.2020:-

“On 16.12.2020, the following order had been passed:-

“All cases listed today have been taken up for hearing by way of video conferencing because of the situation existing due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that because the petitioners' son and grand-son have been shown to be accused in a criminal case registered alleging therein the commission of an offence punishable under Section 302 of the IPC, the complainant in that FIR and his family, i.e. respondents no. 4 to 8, are not allowing the petitioner to enter into his own land which duly stands in his name even as per the

revenue record, with the petitioner being 80 years old.

Notice of motion be issued to the respondents, returnable on 09.02.2021.

The Senior Superintendent of Police, Police District Batala, is directed to go into the matter and to file his own reply to the petition, in the meanwhile ensuring that the petitioner is not wrongfully restrained from entering into his own land, of course after establishing that the land actually belongs to him and that there is no civil litigation pending qua the said land in which any restraint order has been passed.”

As per the report of the Registry, dated 08.02.2021, even process fee was not filed and consequently notice could not be issued. Learned counsel for the petitioner not being present as it is past court hours, adjourned to 19.03.2021 To be shown in the urgent motion list.”

Today learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as a matter of fact the petitioner has died and his legal representatives do not wish to pursue this petition as they have already taken possession of the land.

That being so, the petition is disposed of as actually having abated.

March 19, 2021
dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No