

[114] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.22634 of 2021
Date of Decision : 17.11.2021

Kasturi Lal ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. P.S. Mirpur, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition under Articles 226 / 227 of the Constitution of India, is for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of Mandamus for directing the respondents to re-instate the petitioner in service.

2. At the outset, learned counsel contends that the petitioner has submitted representation (Annexure P/4) dated 05.11.2017 besides served legal notice (Annexure P-5) dated 15.01.2021, on the respondents, but neither the representation nor the legal notice has been decided till date, therefore, the petitioner would be satisfied, if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the same, in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion to respondent No.2 only.

4. Mr. TPS Chawla, learned DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and after perusal of the writ petition states that he has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. Accordingly, in view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties but without commenting upon the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim made in representation Annexure P/4 dated 05.11.2017 and legal notice (Annexure P-5) dated 15.01.2021, in accordance with law by taking into account all aspects of the matter including delay if any in seeking redress by passing a speaking order within 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

6. Petition disposed of with the aforementioned directions.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

17.11.2021

'Rajneesh'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>