

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.37782 of 2019(O&M)
Date of Decision:25.10.2021
(Heard through VC)**

Lalit Kumar

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab and another

. ..Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. M.S. Dhami, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. A.S. Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vinod Pundir, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JAISHREE THAKUR J. (ORAL)

CRM Mo.34409 of 2021

Prayer in the application is for preponement of the hearing of the main case, which is now fixed for 06.01.2022.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and hearing of the main case is preponed and same is taken up for hearing today itself.

CRM-M No.37782 of 2019

This is a petition that has been filed for quashing of FIR No.77 dated 26.07.2017 under Sections 376, 354-C IPC and Section 67 of the Information Technology Act, 2000 registered at Police Station Kathgarh, District S.B.S. Nagar based on a compromise that has been arrived at between the parties.

In brief the facts of the case are that as per the statement given by the complainant, an FIR No.77 dated 26.07.2017 was registered under Section 376, 354 IPC and Section 67 of Information Technology Act, 2000 at Police Station Kathgarh, District S.B.S. Nagar. The complainant in the FIR had alleged that the petitioner herein had established physical relationship with her for a period of 2 years by giving a false assurance of marriage. He had threatened her that he had recorded indecent videos of her on the mobile. Based on the said allegations, an FIR was registered against the petitioner herein.

However, subsequent to the registration of the FIR, the petitioner and the complainant got married on 17.04.2018 and were blessed with a child born on 24.05.2019. As the parties were residing happily together, the instant petition came to be filed seeking quashing of the said FIR based on a compromise dated 20.07.2019. A Coordinate Bench of this Court directed the parties to put in appearance before the trial Court and have their statements recorded regarding compromise arrived at between the parties. Pursuant to the said direction, a report has been received wherein the Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Balachaur has submitted that the parties are standing by their statements and they have compromised their disputes with the intervention of the respectables of the locality and family members.

At this stage, counsel for the respondent-State on instructions from SI Valaiti Ram would submit that on registration of the FIR, the matter was investigated and a cancellation report has already been filed before the trial Court which stands accepted and therefore no further orders are called for.

Counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant also submits that

the complainant is residing happily in her matrimonial home.

I have heard counsel for the parties and in view of the fact that even though there are allegations in the FIR under Section 376 IPC against the petitioner, the matter stands investigated and a cancellation report has already been filed which stands accepted.

Consequently, the instant petition stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

October 25, 2021

P.Bhatt

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No