

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-22719-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 14.12.2021**

Sanjay Kumar & others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

... Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.**

Present:- Mr. Sushil Jain, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Aman Bahri, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).

Instant writ petition was filed seeking a mandamus for directing the respondents/concerned authorities under the State of Haryana to demarcate the land of the petitioners.

Case made out on behalf of the petitioners as per averments made in the petition was to the effect that inspite of numerous representations having been made, no action is being taken on the application submitted by the petitioners seeking demarcation.

On the previous date of hearing, Mr. Aman Bahri, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana had been requested to complete instructions in the matter.

During the course of resumed hearing today, learned State counsel has informed us that a subsequent development has taken place which has been concealed in the writ petition inasmuch as a partition pertaining to the land in question had taken place resulting in different khasra numbers and consequently, another application had been filed by the petitioners

seeking demarcation by mentioning the correct khasra numbers and which in turn had been marked by the Assistant Collector Grade-II, Panipat to Mr. Ved Singh, retired Naib Tehsildar and that too, on the request of the petitioners.

Mr. Sushil Jain, learned counsel for the petitioners does not rebut such factual premise and states that it was only by way of an inadvertent oversight that such proceedings/subsequent developments had not been disclosed in the writ petition. Mr. Sushil Jain, Advocate informs us that Mr. Ved Singh, retired Naib Tehsildar has not accepted the assignment as regards the demarcation.

At this stage, learned State counsel has intervened and submits that in case the petitioners move a fresh application seeking demarcation of the land in question, the same would be marked forthwith to a serving revenue official for purpose of demarcation and the exercise would be concluded within a period of two weeks from the date of submission of such application.

Statement made by Mr. Aman Bahri, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana is accepted.

No further directions are required to be passed.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

14.12.2021
harjeet

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable? | Yes/No |