

209

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.42127 of 2020

Date of Decision: 18.02.2021

HARI BHATI

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, D.A.G., Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.247 dated 01.08.2020 registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 379-B, 452 and 506 IPC at Police Station Kheripul, Faridabad, District Faridabad.

On 15.12.2020, following order was passed by this Court:-

“The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it is a case of version and cross-version. Though the

petitioner was named in the FIR, but no attribution was made in respect of opening attack with knife and taking out purse from the pocket of the complainant. Parties have compromised and the said compromise has been acted upon in case of co-accused Deepak Gupta while granting him regular bail by the court of Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad and in case of coaccused Kuldeep who has already been granted regular bail by Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad on 24.11.2020. In crossversion, Chetan Parkash filed anticipatory bail and his bail has been confirmed vide order dated 17.11.2020 passed in CRM-M No.34017 of 2020.

Notice of motion for 18.02.2021.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation on 20.12.2020 at 11.00 A.M. and in the event of his arrest, he shall be enlarged on ad interim bail, on his furnishing requisite bail bonds/surety bonds subject to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer. However, petitioner shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in compliance of the aforesaid order, the petitioner has joined the investigation to the entire satisfaction of the Investigating Officer.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Bijender Singh admitted the aforesaid fact and submitted that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation of the case.

In view of aforesaid factual position, the interim order dated 15.12.2020 is made absolute. Petitioner shall keep on joining the investigation as and when required to do so and he

shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2)
Cr.P.C.

Petition stands disposed of.

February 18, 2021

Atik

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No