

Surinder Singh

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

Sudhir Rajpal and another

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. Sudhir Sharma, Advocate
for petitioner.
Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, DAG Haryana.
-.- -.-

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

The case is taken up through video conferencing on account of COVID 2019.

Petitioner has filed CWP-15250-2019 for issuance of directions to respondents to treat his suspension period from 19.6.1998 to 17.2.2000 as duty period and allow him full pay and allowances for said period. That petition was disposed of by this Court, vide order dated 30.5.2019 with a direction to respondents to decide representation dated 12.3.2019 filed by petitioner within six months.

Mr. Yadav, learned counsel for respondents states that order dated 3.2.2021 has been passed whereby representation of petitioner was decided and suspension period has been treated as duty period.

Learned counsel for petitioner states that in the said order, dates of conviction, suspension and dismissal have been wrongly mentioned. He states that he has already filed application before concerned authority for correction of said dates.

Mr. Yadav, learned counsel for respondents assures that a decision on said application will taken, correcting the dates of conviction, suspension and dismissal and suitable corrigendum would issued.

In view thereof, this petition has become infructuous.

Disposed of accordingly.

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

10.2.2021
sjks