

CWP-22618-2021

Date of Decision : 12.11.2021

Vishal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Yogesh Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.
***B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition under Articles 226 / 227 of the Constitution of India, is for the issuance of a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of Mandamus for directing the respondents to re-conduct the physical screening test of the petitioner on account of the fact that the petitioner was not feeling well due to severe pain in both heels of the feet and knee of the right leg on the date of physical screening test as is evident from Annexure P/4 and P/5.

2. At the outset, learned counsel contends that the petitioner has submitted a representation Annexure P/6 by email dated 28.10.2021, to respondent No.3, but no decision has been taken on the same till date and that in the circumstances, the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.3 to consider and decide the claim made in representation dated 28.10.2021, in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion to respondent No.3 only.

4. Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf

of respondent No.3 and after perusal of the writ petition states that he has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. Accordingly, in view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties but without commenting upon the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.3 to consider and decide the claim made in representation Annexure P/6 dated 28.10.2021, in accordance with law after taking into account all aspects of the matter within ten days from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

6. Petition disposed of with the aforementioned directions.

12.11.2021

'rajesh

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No