

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.41981 of 2020

Date of Decision:05.10.2021

(Heard through VC)

Suraj

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Ashwani Kumar Antil, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepshikha Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

This is the petition that has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.200 dated 07.07.2020 registered under Sections 120-B, 328, 34, 354, 363, 363-A, 376-D IPC and Sections 4, 6, 10, 17 of the POCSO Act at Police Station Murthal, District Sonapat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the said FIR. It is argued that prosecutrix has been examined, who did not support the prosecution version. The petitioner is in custody since 10.07.2020 and the trial is likely to take some time to conclude therefore, prays for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State on instructions from SI Babli opposes grant of regular bail to the petitioner while pointing to the seriousness of allegations levelled against him, however, she does not

dispute the fact that the prosecutrix has turned hostile.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties. Keeping in view the fact that the prosecutrix has been examined, who did not support the prosecution version and the fact that the trial is likely to take some time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of concerned trial court/Duty Magistrate. However, any observation made herein shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on merits of the case.

October 05, 2021
P.Bhatt

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No