

106

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-46274-2021

Date of decision : 02.11.2021

Ravi and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Ms. Gitanjali Chhabra, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No.802 dated 10.09.2021 registered under Sections 147, 149, 195-A, 294, 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Sadar Hisar, District Hisar.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in the present case, the petitioners were not named in the FIR and no injury has been caused to any person and the petitioners have been implicated in the present case on the basis of disclosure statement. It is further submitted that the main accused namely Raman has already been granted the concession of regular bail and the car in question has been recovered from him. It is further contended that the petitioners are not involved in any other case.

Notice of motion.

On advance notice, Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana, appears and accepts notice on behalf of the State and has submitted that he is fully prepared to argue the matter and assist this Court. Although, he has opposed the present petition for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, however, has not disputed the abovesaid facts as stated by learned counsel for petitioners. He, on instructions from ASI Mohinder Singh, has stated that nothing is to be recovered from the petitioners.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, moreso the fact that the petitioners are not named in the FIR and have been implicated on the basis of disclosure statement and the present case is a case of no injury and the main accused Raman has already been granted the concession of regular bail and also the fact that there is no recovery which is to effected from the petitioners, the present petition is allowed and the petitioners are granted the concession of anticipatory bail subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C and unless, they are not required in any other case. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so.

However, nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail application.

02.11.2021*Pawan***(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned:-****Yes/No****Whether reportable:-****Yes/No**