

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(112)

CRWP-10525-2021

Date of decision: - 08.11.2021

Renuka

....Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Sumeet Puri, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Shashank Bhandari, APP, for U.T, Chandigarh.
(keeping in view the advance copy given).

(Through Video Conferencing)

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer of the petitioner is for protection of life and liberty at the hands of private respondent No.4.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that there is a dispute between the petitioner and her brother i.e. respondent No.4 and the said dispute has resulted into a lot of litigation and number of DDRs were also registered against him, the details of which have been given in paragraph No.2 of the present petition, but no action is being taken so far by the police to redress her grievance. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that with regard to the said grievance, the petitioner has already submitted a representation dated 12.10.2021/29.10.2021 (Annexure P-1) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh,

which is still pending consideration before the said authority and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No. 2 to decide the said representation (Annexure P-1) by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Shashank Bhandari, APP, for U.T, Chandigarh, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition accepts notice on behalf of respondent-U.T. Chandigarh.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of U.T. Chandigarh raises no objection with regard to prayer of the petitioner for deciding the representation dated 12.10.2021/29.10.2021 (Annexure P-1) by respondent No.2 in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in representation dated 12.10.2021/29.10.2021 (Annexure P-1), respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation dated 12.10.2021/29.10.2021 (Annexure P-1) by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

November 08, 2021
naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes
Whether reportable?	No