

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

CRWP-10462-2021

Date of Decision: November 17, 2021

Pinki Rani and another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Parveen Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate for respondents No.5 & 6.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for petitioners submits that the petitioners are not apprehending any danger to their life and now they do not require police protection.

Learned State counsel, based on the instructions received from SI Ramnivas, also submits that no threat perception is there and the present petition has become infructuous.

Consequently, nothing survives in the present petition and is disposed of as having become infructuous with liberty to learned counsel for the petitioners to file afresh in case any threat perception arises in future.

The interim protection order dated 03.11.2021, is hereby recalled. However, it shall be open for the petitioners to approach this Court again in case of any threat perception.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

November 17, 2021

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No