

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-45676-2021
Date of decision: 01.11.2021**

Raj Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Deepak Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 0214 dated 13.10.2021, registered under Sections 353, 186, 283, 332, 506 and 34 of the IPC at Police Station Sujanpur, District Pathankot.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per allegations in the FIR, registered at the instance of complainant-Constable Surjit Singh, it is stated that he was deployed at a barrier and was assigned the duty of maintaining the traffic due to ongoing construction work on Sunderchak-Malikpur road. In that process, he was managing the traffic. The petitioner and one more person namely Puran Pardesi came and asked him to allow the movement of their vehicle at the first instance, however, the complainant told them that their vehicle will go as per their turn and thereafter, they started abusing the complainant and even caught hold of him from collar and torn out his uniform.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case.

Learned State counsel submits that the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature as the complainant, who was performing his official duties, was abused and manhandled by the petitioner and co-accused Puran Pardesi.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, considering the fact that there are serious allegations against the petitioner, I find no ground to grant him the concession of anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

01.11.2021
Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No