

209

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.41436 of 2020
Date of Decision: 27.01.2021

MANPREET SINGH @ MANNAPetitioner
Vs
STATE OF PUNJABRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Sukhjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amar Ashok Pathak, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.0150 dated 18.11.2020 registered under Sections 61(i)(a)/78(2) of the Punjab Excise Act, 1914 at Police Station Dehlon, District Police Commissionerate Ludhiana.

On 10.12.2020, following order was passed by this Court:-

"The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been nominated in the disclosure statement of Vineet Kumar @ Bobby, who was arrested from the spot along with 30 boxes of liquor. No recovery is to be effected from the

petitioner. Petitioner is not even owner of the vehicle. FIR was registered on the basis of secret information and still no compliance in terms of Section 100 Cr.P.C. has been made.

Notice of motion for 27.01.2021.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation on 17.12.2020 at 11.00 a.m. and in the event of his arrest, he shall be enlarged on ad interim bail, on his furnishing requisite bail bonds/surety bonds subject to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer. However, petitioner shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in compliance of the aforesaid order, the petitioner has joined the investigation to the entire satisfaction of the Investigating Officer.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Subhash Chand admitted the aforesaid fact and submitted that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation of the case.

In view of aforesaid factual position, the interim order dated 10.12.2020 is made absolute. Petitioner shall keep on joining the investigation as and when required to do so and he shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands disposed of.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

January 27, 2021

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No