

211

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.41487 of 2020
Date of Decision: 27.01.2021

SEEMAPetitioner
Vs
STATE OF PUNJABRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Sarabjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amar Ashok Pathak, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

At the very outset, it is being noticed that there is some typographical error while mentioning the word 'contend' as 'contends', The error is ordered to be rectified. The word 'contend' shall be read in the second paragraph of the order dated 10.12.2020 in place of the word 'contends'.

Petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.115 dated 23.07.2020 registered under Sections 324, 452, 34 IPC at Police Station Talwandi Chaudhrian, District Kapurthala.

On 10.12.2020, following order was passed by this Court:-

"The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon the

order dated 04.12.2020 passed in CRM-M No. 31974 of 2020 titled Paramjit Singh @ Pamma vs. State of Punjab to contends that the Petitioner is wife of aforesaid Paramjit Singh @ Pamma and no role is attributed to her.

Notice of motion for 27.01.2021.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation on 17.12.2020 at 11.00 a.m. and in the event of her arrest, she shall be enlarged on ad interim bail, subject to her furnishing requisite bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer. However, petitioner shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in compliance of the aforesaid order, the petitioner has joined the investigation to the entire satisfaction of the Investigating Officer.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Rajinder Singh admitted the aforesaid fact and submitted that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation of the case.

In view of aforesaid factual position, the interim order dated 10.12.2020 is made absolute. Petitioner shall keep on joining the investigation as and when required to do so and she shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands disposed of.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

January 27, 2021

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No