

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

115

**CR-2700-2021
Date of Order: 18.11.2021**

GURWINDER SINGH @ GOGGI

..Petitioner

Versus

JHUNIR TRAVELS (REGD.) AND ANR.

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. B.S. Toor, Advocate
for Mr. Jagmeet Singh Moudgill, Advocate
for petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The claimant in a petition under Section 163-A of the Motor Vehicle Act, 1988 has filed the present petition assailing the correctness of order passed on 24.03.2021, whereby his opportunity to lead evidence has been closed.

The learned counsel representing the claimant contends that on account of lack of communication from the counsel representing the claimant, the claimant could not produce evidence at an appropriate time.

The Motor Vehicle Act, 1988, is a beneficial legislation. In order to enable the claimant who suffers injuries or death of the family member in an automobile accident, a provision has been made to file a claim petition in order to receive compensation for such suffering.

Hence, the Tribunal before passing such order should keep in view the intent behind the legislation.

The learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that if one opportunity is granted, the claimant would conclude the evidence.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts and without commenting on merits of the case, the revision petition is allowed.

The Motor Accident Claims Tribunal is requested to fix a date for providing one opportunity to the petitioner to lead his entire evidence.

Disposed of.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

November 18, 2021

Ay

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No