

103

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.41371 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 20.07.2021

Shiv Shambhu Sharma

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. S.P. Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

Ms. R.K. Thind, Advocate for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)

On 14.012.2020, counsel for the petitioner has restricted his argument only to the extent of interim bail on medical grounds.

The petitioner is continuing with the interim bail till date.

Counsel for the State assisted by counsel for the complainant has argued that even before the Lower Court, the application was moved for interim bail and the petitioner has never applied for regular bail before the trial Court, though in the main petition, there is a prayer for regular bail, however as noticed above, on 14.12.2020, this petition was restricted only to grant interim bail to the petitioner.

Considering the fact that the petitioner has availed the interim bail for a substantive long time and there is nothing on record to suggest that he requires further interim bail on medical grounds, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to surrender before the trial Court within a period of 01 week from today and apply for regular bail, if so advised.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)

20.07.2021

yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Whether reportable:

JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No