

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-41552 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 06.04.2021

Parveen Kumar @ Billoo

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

After arguing for some time, realizing that the Court was not convinced with the contentions raised by him and was not inclined to grant the relief prayed for, in view of the bar of Section 37 of the NDPS Act, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he be permitted to withdraw the instant petition. Allowed.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

06.04.2021

sumit.k

**(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No